April 28, 2014

Senator Block, Chair
Senate Subcommittee on Education,
Standing Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review
State Capitol, Room 4090
Sacramento, CA 95814

Assembly Member Muratsuchi, Chair
Subcommittee on Education Finance,
Assembly Committee on Budget
State Capitol, Room 4117
Sacramento, CA 95814

SUPPORT FOR BUDGET REQUEST: Alignment of Foster Youth Services Program with the Local Control Funding Formula

Dear Senator Block and Assembly Member Muratsuchi:

We are a group of organizations invested in improving the educational outcomes of California’s foster youth. We commend the Legislature for working with the Governor in 2013 to create an education finance and accountability system that supports the educational needs of students in foster care. To ensure that the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) benefits foster youth in the manner intended, it is critical that California’s Foster Youth Services (FYS) program be aligned with the LCFF and funded at a level sufficient to support all of our State’s foster youth.

The FYS program and the LCFF are currently misaligned in their definitions of “foster youth”. While the LCFF definition includes all foster youth, regardless of where they are placed, the FYS definition and funding are limited to foster youth placed in traditional foster care settings.\(^1\) This misalignment is creating confusion for school districts and county offices of education. More importantly, it means that foster youth placed with relatives are not eligible or funded to receive supports provided by FYS programs.

Studies have found that foster youth do better emotionally when placed with people they know, so over time we have placed more foster youth with relatives. Unfortunately, studies have also found the educational outcomes of foster children living with relatives to be similar to those living in non-relative foster homes, significantly worse than the general student population and worse than other at-risk student subgroups. A recent report found that California foster youth who spent most of their time living with relatives do not complete high school, enroll in community college, or persist in community college at rates any different than youth who spent most of their time living in non-relative foster homes.\(^2\)

In recognition of this fact, the LCFF definition includes all foster youth. The FYS program should be aligned with the LCFF so that all foster children receive the educational

---

\(^1\) See Cal. Educ. Code § 42238.01 for the LCFF definition of foster youth and § 42921 for the FYS definition of foster youth.

supports they need, regardless of the type of foster placement in which they reside. Aligning FYS with LCFF requires changing the FYS definition of foster youth to match the LCFF definition of foster youth and increasing FYS funding by $13.6 million. These changes will ensure the LCFF fulfills its promise to all California’s foster children, regardless of where they are placed.

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue. We look forward to continuing this conversation and working together to improve the educational outcomes of youth in foster care. For more information, please contact Melissa San Miguel at msanmiguel@youthlaw.org.

Sincerely,

Adonai Mack
Legislative Advocate
ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS

Sandra S. Morales
Assistant Executive Director
CALIFORNIA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENTS EDUCATIONAL SERVICES ASSOCIATION

Andrea Ball
Legislative Advocate
CALIFORNIA SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION

Khydeeja Alam Javid
Manager of Governmental Relations
ADVANCEMENT PROJECT

Sheila Jordan
Alameda County Superintendent of Schools
ALAMEDA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Luis Sanchez
Chair of Alliance for BMoC Education Policy Workgroup
ALLIANCE FOR BOYS AND MEN OF COLOR

Molly L. Dunn
Policy Attorney
ALLIANCE FOR CHILDREN’S RIGHTS

Matt Yagyagan
Special Projects Organizer
ALLIANCE SAN DIEGO

Francisco Lobaco
Legislative Director
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF CALIFORNIA
Carroll Schroeder  
Executive Director  
CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES

Vanessa Hernandez  
Statewide Policy Coordinator  
CALIFORNIA YOUTH CONNECTION

Susanna Kniffen  
Associate Director, Child Welfare Policy  
CHILDREN NOW

Robert C. Fellmeth  
Executive Director  
CHILDREN’S ADVOCACY INSTITUTE

Leslie Heimov  
Executive Director  
CHILDREN’S LAW CENTER OF CALIFORNIA

Marqueece Harris-Dawson  
President & CEO  
COMMUNITY COALITION

Frank J. Mecca  
Executive Director  
COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA

Roger Chan  
Executive Director  
EAST BAY CHILDREN’S LAW OFFICES

Oscar Cruz  
President & CEO  
FAMILIES IN SCHOOLS

Joseph M. Costa  
Chief Executive Officer  
HILLSIDES

Amy Lemley  
Policy Director  
JOHN BURTON FOUNDATION

Andrew Cain  
Supervising Attorney  
LEGAL ADVOCATES FOR CHILDREN & YOUTH

Abigail Trillin  
Executive Director  
LEGAL SERVICES FOR CHILDREN

Jesse Hahnel  
Director, Foster Youth Education Initiative  
NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW

Jennifer Peck  
Executive Director  
PARTNERSHIP FOR CHILDREN & YOUTH

Rubén Lizardo  
Associate Director  
POLICYLINK

Liz Guillen  
Director of Legislation & Community Affairs  
PUBLIC ADVOCATES

Laura Faer  
Statewide Education Rights Director  
PUBLIC COUNSEL

Luis Santana  
Executive Director  
READING AND BEYOND

Melissa Musgrave  
Family Resource Coordinator  
SAN LUIS COSTAL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Arun Ramanathan  
Executive Director  
THE EDUCATION TRUST-WEST

Deborah Escobedo  
Staff Attorney  
YOUTH LAW CENTER

cc:

Senator Carol Liu  
Assembly Member Rocky J. Chávez  
Assembly Member Brian Nestande  
Assembly Member Nancy Skinner

Senator Mark Wyland  
Assembly Member Matthew Dababneh  
Assembly Member Philip Y. Ting  
Assembly Member Jeff Gorell